



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,836	12/29/2000	Philipp Heinz Schmid	M61.12-0323	9264

27366 7590 09/20/2005

MICROSOFT CORPORATION C/O WESTMAN
CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.
SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

SKED, MATTHEW J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2655

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/751,836	Applicant(s) SCHMID ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew J. Sked	Art Unit 2655	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-39,47 and 50-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,6-39,47 and 51-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5 and 50 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39, 47 and 50-54 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 47 and 50 are objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9, line 3, "TT" should be changed to -TTS--.

Claim 47, line 23,
^

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-39, 47 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1 and 47 are drawn to a "layer" *per se* as recited in the preamble and as such is non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1.a. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material *per se* and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure *per se* held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other

Art Unit: 2655

claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings *per se*, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 10-39, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun Microsystems ("Java Speech API Programmer's Guide"), herein referred to as Sun.

Art Unit: 2655

As per claim 1, Sun teaches a middleware layer configured to facilitate communication between a speech-related application and a speech-related engine, comprising:

a speech component having an application-independent interface (Java Speech API can be used in a wide range of applications, hence application-independent, section 1.1) configured to be coupled to the application and an engine-independent interface (includes a standard API for speech so users can choose the speech products to use that best meet their needs hence engine-independent, section 1.1) configured to be coupled to the engine and at least one processing component configured to perform speech related services for the application and the engine (provides support and interface between the applications and the speech engines, section 1.2).

7. As per claim 2, Sun teaches the speech component includes a plurality of processing components associated with a plurality of different processes, wherein the speech component further comprises: a marshaling component, configured to access at least one processing component in each process and to marshal information transfer among the processes (Speech API has multiple processes that would inherently have a component to transfer the data between the processes, section 4.1).

8. As per claims 3 and 6, Sun teaches a format negotiation component configured to negotiate a data format of data used by the audio device and data used by the engine wherein the format negotiation component is configured to invoke a format converter to convert the data format of data between the engine and the audio device to a desired format based on the data format used by the audio device and the data format used by

Art Unit: 2655

the engine (must inherently convert the queued digital signal created by the synthesizer into an analog signal to be output through the speaker, section 5.4).

9. As per claim 7, Sun teaches a lexicon container object configured to contain a plurality of lexicons and to provide a lexicon interface to the engine to represent the plurality of lexicons as a single lexicon to the engine and load the one or more user lexicons as one or more application lexicons (vocabulary manager adds the list of words used by the recognizer, section 4.6.3).

10. As per claims 10, 36 and 37, Sun teaches a site object having an interface configured to receive result information, indicative of recognized speech from the engine (results from synthesizer are placed in a queue and result interface obtains recognition results, sections 5.4 and 6.7.3.1).

11. As per claim 11, Sun teaches the engine comprises a TTS engine (speech synthesis, section 5) and wherein the processing component comprises a first object having an application interface and an engine interface (text to be synthesized is inputted through the application hence these interfaces exist, section 5.3).

12. As per claim 12, Sun teaches the application interface exposes a method configured to receive engine attributes from the application and instantiate a specific engine based on the engine attributes received (application creates and allocates information to the synthesizer, section 5.1).

13. As per claim 13, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive audio device attributes from the application and instantiate a specific audio device based on the audio device attributes received (receives

Art Unit: 2655

indication from the application regarding the volume, speech rate and prosody of the speech to be outputted by the audio device, section 5.6).

14. As per claim 14, Sun teaches a parser to receive input data to be synthesized and parse the input data into text fragments (formats the inputted text for synthesis, sections 2.1 and 5.3).

15. As per claim 15, Sun teaches the engine interface is configured to call a method exposed by the engine to begin synthesis (property change events inherent in an engine call a method to change properties, section 5.6).

16. As per claim 16, Hataoka teaches the engine comprises a speech recognition engine (section 6) and wherein the processing component comprises a first object having an application interface and an engine interface (application provides grammars to the engine so this interface must exist, section 6.1).

17. As per claim 17, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive recognition attributes from the application and instantiates a specific speech recognition engine based on the engine attributes received (application creates a grammar and defines the grammar, section 6.1).

18. As per claim 18, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive audio device attributes from the application and instantiate a specific audio device based on the audio device attributes received (receives indication from the application regarding the volume, speech rate and prosody of the speech to be outputted by the audio device, section 5.6).

Art Unit: 2655

19. As per claim 19, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive an alternate request from the application and to configure the speech component to retain alternates provided by the SR engine for transmission to the application based on the alternate request (recognizer creates alternate recognition results and application specifies the number of alternates to return, sections 6.7.9.2 and 6.7.10).

20. As per claim 20, Sun teaches the application interface exposes a method configured to receive an audio information request from the application and to configure the speech component to retain audio information recognized by the SR engine based on the audio information request (recognizer performs language model adaptation based upon the input from the application, section 6.6).

21. As per claim 21, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive bookmark information from the application identifying a position in an input data stream being recognized and to notify the application when the SR engine reaches the identified position (attaches a ResultListener to receive event progress information during recognition and uses a marker-reaches event in audio output hence suggesting its use in recognition, sections 5.5 and 6.1).

22. As per claim 22, Sun teaches the engine interface is configured to call the SR engine to set acoustic profile information in the SR engine (recognizer receives a profile that sets the acoustic models that are used, section 6.9).

Art Unit: 2655

23. As per claim 23, Sun teaches the engine interface is configured to call the SR engine to load a grammar in the SR engine (grammar interface creates and enables grammars, section 6.4.1).

24. As per claim 24, Sun teaches the engine interface is configured to call the SR engine to load a language model in the SR engine (profile loaded into the recognizer contains the language model, section 6.9).

25. As per claims 25 and 27, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a method configured to receive a grammar request from the application and to instantiate a grammar object based on the grammar request to be used by the SR engine (application loads and enables the grammars in the engine, section 6.1).

26. As per claim 26, Sun teaches the grammar object includes a word sequence data buffer (grammar format includes a rule defining the sequence of allowable words, section 2.2.1).

27. As per claim 28, Sun teaches the grammar includes words, rules and transitions and wherein the grammar object includes an application interface and an engine interface (grammar includes rules, words and transitions that is controlled by the grammar interface, section 6.4.1 and 6.5.3).

28. As per claim 29, Sun teaches wherein the application interface exposes a grammar configuration method configured to receive grammar configuration information from the application and configure the grammar based on the grammar configuration information (Speech API supports dynamic grammars that can be changed at any time, section 6.4.2).

Art Unit: 2655

29. As per claim 30, Sun teaches grammar configuration method is configured to receive word change data, rule change (activation/deactivation) data, and transition change data and change words, rules and transitions in the grammar in the grammar object based on the grammar received (setEnabled method enables and disables rules, section 6.5.1).

30. As per claim 31, Sun teaches wherein the grammar configuration method is configured to receive grammar activation information and enable or disable grammars in the grammar object based on the grammar activation information (grammar interface enables and disables grammars, section 6.4.1).

31. As per claim 32, Sun teaches to change words, rules and transitions in the grammar in the grammar object based on the grammar received (commands to change rules in the grammar and allows for dynamic grammars, sections 6.5.1 and 6.5.4).

32. As per claims 33-35, Sun teaches the engine interface is configured to call the SR engine to load the grammar in the SR engine, wherein the call updates a configuration of the grammar or activation state in the SR engine (grammar interface enables, updates and activates grammars, sections 6.4.1 and 6.4.2).

33. As per claim 38, Sun teaches wherein the engine interface on the site object is configured to receive update information from the SR engine indicative of a current position of the SR engine in an audio input stream to be recognized (attaches a ResultListener to indicate the progress of recognition, section 6.1).

34. As per claim 39, Sun teaches a result object configured to obtain the result information from the site object and expose an interface configured to pass the result

Art Unit: 2655

information to the application (result interfaces receive results and provide them to the application, section 6.7.3).

35. As per claim 51, Sun teaches a method of updating a grammar configuration of a grammar used by a speech recognition engine based on update information from an application, comprising:

calling a first object in an application-independent, engine-independent, middleware layer, between the SR engine and the application (Java Speech API can be used in a wide range of applications, hence application-independent and includes a standard API for speech so users can choose the speech products to use that best meet their needs hence engine-independent, section 1.1), with a pause request (suspended state, section 6.3.3);

delaying return from the first object on a subsequent call from the SR engine (delays the return to the listening state until grammar updating is complete, section 6.3.3);

receiving the update information from the application at the middleware layer (grammars are updated in response to the user input which would come from the application, section 6.3.3);

passing the update information from the middleware layer to the SR engine (grammars in the recognizer are updated, section 6.3.3); and

returning on the subsequent call from the SR engine (returns to the listening state, section 6.3.3).

Art Unit: 2655

36. As per claim 52, Sun teaches to change words, rules and transitions in the grammar in the grammar object based on the grammar received (commands to change rules in the grammar and allows for dynamic grammars, sections 6.5.1 and 6.5.4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

37. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

38. Claims 4, 8, 9, 53 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun in view of Official Notice.

As per claim 4, Sun does not specifically teach the format negotiation component is configured to reconfigure the audio device to change the data format of the data used by the audio device.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that changing the compression format used by a sound card is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Sun to reconfigure the audio device to change the data format of the data used by the audio device because it would enable the audio device to stay consistent with the speech engine.

39. As per claim 8, Sun does not specifically teach loading one or more user lexicons and one or more application lexicons from a lexicon data store.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that using both a user vocabulary and application vocabulary for recognition is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Sun to load both user lexicons and application lexicons into the engine because using concentrated vocabularies give better recognition results.

40. As per claim 9, Sun teaches the lexicon interface is configured to be invoked by the engine to add a lexicon provided by the engine (Vocabmanager provided by the engine, section 4.6.3).

41. As per claim 53, Sun teaches a method of formatting data for use by a speech engine and an audio device, comprising:

obtaining, at a middleware layer which facilitates communication between the speech engine and an application, a data format for data used by the engine; obtaining, at the middleware layer, a data format of data used by the audio device; determining, at the middleware layer, whether the engine data format and the audio data format are consistent; and if not utilizing the middleware layer to attempt to change the data format of the data (middleware would inherently know the data formats used by the audio device and engine to output the speech and would inherently convert the queued digital signal created by the synthesizer into an analog signal to be output through the speaker, section 5.4).

Sun does not specifically teach the format negotiation component is configured to reconfigure the audio device to change the data format of the data used by the audio device.

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that changing the compression format used by a sound card is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Sun to reconfigure the audio device to change the data format of the data used by the audio device because it would enable the audio device to stay consistent with the speech engine.

42. As per claim 54, Sun teaches invoking a format converter to convert the data format of data between the engine and the audio device to a desired format based on the data format used by the audio device and the data format used by the engine (must inherently convert the queued digital signal created by the synthesizer into an analog signal to be output through the speaker, section 5.4).

Allowable Subject Matter

43. Claims 47 and 50 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.

44. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

45. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hartley et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. 200.1/0032207A1) and

Art Unit: 2655


Balakrishnan (U.S. Pat. 6,233,559) teach systems with multiple applications and engines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Sked whose telephone number is (571) 272-7627. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MS
09/19/05



W. R. YOUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER